

Summary

The Z-Transform

- Definition
- Region of convergence (RC)
- Properties of the RC
- Implications of stability and causality in the RC
- A few important Z-Transform pairs
- The inverse Z-Transform
- A few properties of the Z-Transform

The Z-Transform of the auto/cross-correlation

- the Z-Transform of the auto-correlation
- the Z-Transform of the cross-correlation



The Z-Transform

- consists in a generalization of the Fourier transform for discrete signals
 - allows to represent signals whose Fourier transform does not converge
- is equivalent to the Laplace transform for continuous-time signals
- simplifies the notation in the analysis of problems (e.g. interpolation or decimation)
- Definition

$$Z\{x[n]\} = X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[n]Z^{-n} \quad , \quad Z = re^{j\omega}$$

where Z is a continuous complex variable, we represent symbolically:

$$x[n] \leftarrow Z \qquad X(z)$$


NOTE: the Z transform of x[n] is the Fourier transform of the signal $x[n]^{r-n}$, such that when r=1, a Z transform reduces to the Fourier transform:

$$X(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} x[n] Z^{-n} = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} x[n] (re^{j\omega})^{-n} = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} [x[n] r^{-n}] e^{-j\omega n} = F\{x[n] r^{-n}\}$$



The Z-Transform

Plane of the Z complex variable



- particularity 1: the Fourier transform corresponds to the evaluation of the Z transform on the unit circumference
- **particularity 2:** the 2π periodicity that characterizes the representation of a discrete signal in the frequency domain, is intrinsic to the Z plane



The region of convergence of the Z-Transform

Region of convergence

- given a discrete sequence x[n], the set of Z values for which the Z transform converges (i.e. the infinite summation of power values converges to a finite result) is known as the region of convergence (RoC or RC)
- the condition to be verified, as in the case of the Fourier transform, is that the sequence of powers of the Z transform is absolutely summable:


$$\left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[n]Z^{-n}| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[n]| |Z^{-n}| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[n]| |r|^{-n} < \infty$$

- from the previous it can be concluded that if Z_1 belongs to the region of convergence, then any Z_2 such that $|Z_1| = |Z_2|$, also belongs to the region of convergence, and hence the RC has always the shape of a ring in the Z plane and centered at the origin of this plane.



The region of convergence of the Z-Transform

 from the previous it results that three possibilities may occur for the RC:



 NOTE: if the region of convergence associated with the Z transform of a discrete-time sequence includes the unit circumference, then it can be concluded that the Fourier transform exists (*i.e.* converges) for that sequence. Inversely, ...



Properties of the RC of the Z-Transform

 the most common and useful way to express mathematically the Z transform of a sequence, using a closed-form expression (*i.e.* using a compact expression), is by means of a rational function:

$$X(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

where P(z) and Q(z) are Z polynomials. The finite roots of P(z) are the ZEROES of the Z transform (usually identified by the symbol "o" in the Z plane) and the finite roots of Q(z) are the POLES of the Z transform (i.e. they make that X(z) be infinite and they are usually identified by the symbol "x" in the Z plane). It may however happen that zeroes or poles appear at z=0 (visible) or at $z=\infty$ (not visible).

Taking into consideration the previous ideas, we define the following:

- Properties of the region of convergence (RC)
 - 1. the RC is a disc or ring in the Z plane and centered at the origin,
 - 2. the RC is a connected region (*i.e.* it is not the combination of disjoint regions),



Properties of the RC of the Z-Transform


- 3. the RC may not contain poles inside,
- 4. if x[n] is a finite-duration sequence (*i.e.* a sequence that is different from zero for $-\infty < N_1 < n < N_2 < +\infty$) then the RC is the entire Z plane, except possibly for z=0 or for z= ∞ ,
- 5. if x[n] is a <u>right-hand sided sequence</u> (*i.e.* a sequence that is different from zero for $n > N_1 > -\infty$), then the RC extends to the outside of a circumference defined by the finite pole that is more distant from the origin of the Z plane,
- 6. if x[n] is a <u>left-hand sided sequence</u> (*i.e.* a sequence that is different from zero for $n < N_2 < +\infty$), then the RC extends to the inside of a circumference defined by the finite pole that is closest to the origin of the Z plane,
- 7. if x[n] is neither right-hand sided nor left-hand sided (*i.e.*, it is a two-sided sequence), then the RC, if it exists, consists in a ring (that may not contain poles inside!), that is bounded by two circumferences defined by two finite poles,
- 8. the Fourier transform of a sequence x[n] converges absolutely if and only if the RC of its Z transform includes the unit circumference.



example 1

$$X[n] = a^{n}u[n] \qquad X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a^{n}Z^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (aZ^{-1})^{n} = \frac{1}{1 - aZ^{-1}} , if |aZ^{-1}| < 1 : |Z| > |a|$$

where $RC \equiv |z| > |a|$



(admitting a is real and positive)

NOTE 1: if |a| < 1, then the Fourier transform of the sequence x[n] exists

NOTE 2: this example includes, as a particular case, the unit step (that is not absolutely summable nor square summable, but whose Fourier transform exists using discontinuous and non-differentiable functions: pulses)




example 2

$$X[n] = -a^{n}u[-n-1]$$

$$X(z) = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} a^{n}Z^{-n} = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (aZ^{-1})^{n} = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (a^{-1}Z)^{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{1-a^{-1}Z} = \frac{1}{1-aZ^{-1}} , if |a^{-1}Z| < 1 : |Z| < |a|$$

where $RC \equiv |z| < |a|$



(admitting a is real and positive)

NOTE: if |a| > 1, then the Fourier transform of the sequence x[n] exists

© AJF




wrap-up

- the previous two examples reveal that the Z function defining the poles and the zeroes of the Z transform of a signal <u>is insufficient to</u> <u>characterize it</u>: it is always necessary to specify the associated region of convergence (RC)
- in case x[n] consists of several terms, each one having its own RC, then the combined RC is the intersection among all RCs, *i.e.* the one making simultaneously valid the convergence of the different sums of Z powers, as the following example illustrates.

example 3

$$x[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]$$



$$\frac{F}{P} \underbrace{S}$$

as:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}u[n]}{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n}u[n]}$$


$$\frac{Z}{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n}u[n]}$$

$$\frac{Z}{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n}u[n]}$$

$$\frac{Z}{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n}u[n]}$$

$$\frac{Z}{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n}u[n]}$$

and given the linearity of the Z transform:







example 4

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} a^n & , 0 \le n \le N-1 \\ 0 & other \end{cases}$$


 $X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a^n Z^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(a Z^{-1} \right)^n = \frac{1 - \left(a Z^{-1} \right)^N}{1 - a Z^{-1}} = \frac{1}{Z^{N-1}} \frac{Z^N - a^N}{Z - a} , \forall Z \setminus \{Z = 0\}$

NOTE 1: the roots of the numerator (zeroes) are given by $Z_k = ae^{jk2\pi/N}$, $0 \le k \le N-1$

NOTE 2: the pole at Z=a is cancelled out by the zero at the same location,

NOTE 3: as long as $|aZ^{-1}|$ is finite $\Leftrightarrow |a| < \infty$ and $Z \neq 0$, this case does not imply convergence difficulties and, as a result, the RC is the entire Z plane except Z=0,


NOTE 4: if N=8, the distribution of poles and zeroes in the Z plane is:



Implications of stability and causality in the RC

- 1. If a system having impulse response h[n] [whose Z transform is H(z)] is stable (i.e. h[n] is absolutely summable and, thus, has a Fourier transform), then the RC associated with H(z) must include the unit circumference
- 2. If a system having impulse response h[n] is causal, then h[n] is a right-hand sided sequence and the RC associated with its Z transform, H(z), must extend to the outside of a circumference defined by the finite pole that is more far way from the origin of the Z plane.

Question: which of the following zero-pole diagrams may correspond to a discrete system that is simultaneously stable and causal?



Fundamentals of Signal Processing, weeks 4/5 FEUP-DEEC, October 07/14, 2024




A few important Z-Transform pairs (useful to evaluate either the direct Z-Transform or the inverse Z-Transform !)

x[n]	X(z)	RC
$\delta[n]$	1	• entire Z plane
$\delta[n-n_0]$	Z^{-n_0}	• entire Z plane except Z=0 (if $n_0 > 0$) or except Z= ∞ (if $n_0 < 0$)
u[n]	$\frac{1}{1-Z^{-1}}$	• $ z > 1$
-u[-n-1]	$\frac{1}{1-Z^{-1}}$	$ \bullet _{\mathbf{Z}} <1$
$a^nu[n]$	$\frac{1}{1-aZ^{-1}}$	$\bullet z > a$
$-a^nu[-n-1]$	$\frac{1}{1-aZ^{-1}}$	$ \bullet _{\mathbf{Z}} < \mathbf{a}$
$na^nu[n]$	$\frac{aZ^{-1}}{\left(1-aZ^{-1}\right)^2}$	$ \bullet z > a$
$-na^nu[-n-1]$	$\frac{aZ^{-1}}{\left(1-aZ^{-1}\right)^2}$	$ \bullet _{\mathbf{Z}} < a$
$(\cos \omega_0 n)u[n]$	$\frac{1 - \cos \omega_0 \ Z^{-1}}{1 - 2\cos \omega_0 \ Z^{-1} + Z^{-2}}$	$ \bullet _{\mathbf{Z}} >1$
$(\sin \omega_0 n) u[n]$	$\frac{\sin \omega_0 \ Z^{-1}}{1 - 2\cos \omega_0 \ Z^{-1} + Z^{-2}}$	$ \bullet \mathbf{z} > 1$
$\left(r^n\cos\omega_0n\right)u[n]$	$\frac{1 - r\cos\omega_0 Z^{-1}}{1 - 2r\cos\omega_0 Z^{-1} + r^2 Z^{-2}}$	$ullet$ $ \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{r}$
$(r^n \sin \omega_0 n) u[n]$	$\frac{r\sin\omega_0 Z^{-1}}{1 - 2r\cos\omega_0 Z^{-1} + r^2 Z^{-2}}$	$ \bullet z > r$

Fundamentals of Signal Processing, weeks 4/5 FEUP-DEEC, October 07/14, 2024



frequent path in the analysis/project/modification of signals or discrete systems:



the computation of the inverse Z transform is thus necessary and frequent.

Method 1: by inspection

Involves the identification and direct use of known pairs of the Z transform from a table such as that of the previous slide; in order to take full advantage of this method, it is convenient to decompose the Z function (whose inverse we want to find) as a sum of simple Z functions (e.g., first order functions), such that, for each one, the corresponding Z transform pair is readily identified.



Method 2: partial fraction expansion


if X(z) is expressed as a ratio of Z polynomials:

$$X(z) = rac{\sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k Z^{-k}}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{N} a_{\ell} Z^{-\ell}}$$

the number of poles is equal to the number of zeroes and all may be represented in the "finite" Z plane (*i.e.* there are no zeroes or poles at $z=\infty$), hence it is possible to express X(z) as a sum of partial fractions, each one associated to a pole of X(z):

$$X(z) = \frac{b_0}{a_0} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{M} (1 - c_k Z^{-1})}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{N} (1 - d_\ell Z^{-1})}$$

where c_k are the non-zero zeroes of X(z) and d_ℓ are the non-zero poles of X(z).



If X(z) is presented in an irreducible form, i.e. if M<N and all poles are first order (i.e. their multiplicity is 1), then X(z) may be written as:

$$X(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{A_k}{1 - d_k Z^{-1}}$$

where the constants A_k are obtained as:

$$A_k = \left(1 - d_k Z^{-1}\right) X(z) \Big|_{Z = d_k}$$

Finding the inverse Z transform is now straightforward. That is also the case when M≥N after dividing the numerator by the denominator, the order of the numerator of the remainder must be less than N and X(z) may be expressed as:

$$X(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-N} B_{\ell} Z^{-\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{A_k}{1 - d_k Z^{-1}}$$

© AJF



If there are poles whose multiplicity is higher than 1, a more complex approach has to be followed; for example, if a pole exists at d_i whose multiplicity is m then, presuming all other poles are first-order, X(z) may be expressed as:

$$X(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{M-N} B_s Z^{-s} + \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{N} \frac{A_k}{1 - d_k Z^{-1}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \frac{C_\ell}{\left(1 - d_i Z^{-1}\right)^{\ell}}$$

where the constants C_{ℓ} are obtained as:

$$C_{\ell} = \frac{1}{(m-\ell)!(-d_{i})^{m-\ell}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{m-\ell}}{\partial w^{m-\ell}} \left[(1-d_{i}w)^{m} X(w^{-1}) \right] \right\}_{w=d_{i}^{-1}}$$


After the decomposition of X(z) as partial fractions, x[n] may be evaluated as the inverse Z transform of each partial fraction and taking into consideration the linearity of the Z transform. The identification of the causal or anti-causal behavior of each partial fraction results by analyzing the regions of convergence.




Example

Z plane

X(z) = -	1		z \ 1
$A(2) - \frac{1}{2}$	$1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1} \right)$,	$ \mathbf{z} > \frac{1}{2}$
\	、 4 人 2 丿		





Then:
$$X(z) = \frac{A}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}} + \frac{B}{1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}}$$

where:
$$\left| A = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} Z^{-1} \right) X(z) \right|_{z = \frac{1}{4}} = -1$$
 and $\left| B = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} Z^{-1} \right) X(z) \right|_{z = \frac{1}{2}} = 2$

$$B = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}\right)X(z)\Big|_{Z = \frac{1}{2}} = 2$$

$$X(z) = \frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}}$$

resulting: $X(z) = \frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}}$ and by analyzing the region of convergence, one

concludes that the two poles are associated to right-hand sided sequences, which

$$x[n] = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n u[n]$$

not to forget!

© AJF



Method 3: contour integral

Taking advantage of the Cauchy integral theorem which states that:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C Z^{k-1-\ell} dZ = \begin{cases} 1 & , k = \ell \\ 0 & , k \neq \ell \end{cases}$$

(particular case: ℓ =0) where C is a counter-clockwise contour that includes the origin of the Z plane, one may conclude [Oppenheim, 1975] that it is possible to find x[n] using the contour integral:

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(z) Z^{n-1} dZ$$

where C is a counter-clockwise contour inside the RC [Sanjit Mitra, 2006].



The advantage of this formulation is that for rational functions, it may be conveniently replaced by the computation of the residue theorem:

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(z) Z^{n-1} dZ = \sum \left[\text{residues of } X(z) Z^{n-1}, \text{ at the poles inside C} \right]$$

where the residue for a pole at $Z=Z_0$ and having multiplicity m is given by:

Residue
$$[X(z)Z^{n-1} \quad at \quad Z = Z_0] = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \cdot \frac{d^{m-1}}{dz^{m-1}} [(Z - Z_0)^m X(z)Z^{n-1}]_{z=z_0}$$

NOTE 1: in case of a single pole at $Z=Z_0$ the corresponding residue is:

Residue
$$[X(z)Z^{n-1}$$
 at $Z = Z_0] = (Z - Z_0)X(z)Z^{n-1}|_{z=z_0}$

NOTE 2: the utilization of this method for n<0 may be problematic since a pole at z=0 and having multiplicity > 1 may appear. As an alternative, it may be preferable to use other methods.



• EXAMPLE

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}\right)}, \quad |Z| > \frac{1}{2}$$

we have: $X(z)Z^{n-1} = \frac{Z^{n-1}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}\right)} = \frac{Z^{n+1}}{\left(Z - \frac{1}{4}\right)\left(Z - \frac{1}{2}Z^{-1}\right)}$

and thus:





Residue at $z = \frac{1}{4}$: $\left(Z - \frac{1}{4} \right) X(z) Z^{n-1} \Big|_{z = \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{n+1}}{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} = -\left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{n}, \quad n \ge 0$

Residue at
$$z = \frac{1}{2}$$
: $\left(Z - \frac{1}{2} \right) X(z) Z^{n-1} \Big|_{z = \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{n+1}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{n}, \quad n \ge 0$

from which we conclude:

$$x[n] = \left[2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n\right]u[n]$$







 Properties are very useful in the analysis and project of discrete-time signals and systems (allowing for example a direct connection between a difference equation describing a system and the Z transform of its impulse response).

taking: x[n] X(z), with $RC = R_X \equiv r_E < |Z| < r_D$ and also: x[n] Y(z) with $RC = R_X$

 $\begin{bmatrix} x_1[n] \\ x_2[n] \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} X_1(z) & \text{with } RC = R_{X1} \\ X_2(z) & \text{with } RC = R_{X2} \end{bmatrix}$

we have:

Linearity

$$\boxed{ax_1[n] + bx_2[n]} \leftarrow \boxed{AX_1(z) + bX_2(z) , with RC = R_{X1} \cap R_{X2}}$$

NOTE: a linear combination may give rise to a pole-zero cancellation and hence the final RC may be larger than R_{X1} and R_{X2} , for example:


$$x[n] = a^n u[n] - a^n u[n-N] \quad \text{but the final RC is } |Z| > 0.$$

$$RC_1 = |Z| > |a| \quad RC_2 = |Z| > |a|$$





Displacement in n



example:

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{Z - \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{Z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}} = -4 + \frac{4}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}}$$

$$RC = |Z| > \frac{1}{4}$$

but it is also, in a more direct and simplified way:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
X_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}} \\
\hline
RC = |Z| > \frac{1}{4}
\end{array}$$

$$X_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}Z^{-1}} \\
\hline
X_{2}(z) = Z^{-1}X_{1}(z)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
X_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{4}u[n] = x_{1}[n] \\
\hline
x[n] = x_{1}[n-1] = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-1}u[n-1] = -4\delta[n] + 4\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n}u[n]$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
24
\end{array}$$



Multiplication by a complex exponential

$$Z_0^n x[n] \qquad X(Z_0) \quad , \quad RC = |Z_0|R_X \equiv |Z_0|r_E < |Z| < |Z_0|r_D$$

the implication of this operation is to scale all poles and zeroes of X(z) by $|Z_0|$ in the radial direction in case Z_0 is a positive real number, or to rotate all poles and zeroes of X(z) by ω_0 radians, relatively to the origin, in case $Z_0 = e^{j\omega_0}$. This last case corresponds to the modulation property in the Fourier domain (in case the Fourier transform exists):

$$e^{j\omega_0 n} x[n] \longleftarrow X e^{j(\omega - \omega_0)}$$

example:

$$\begin{array}{c}
u[n] \\
\hline
x[n] = r^n \cos(\omega_0 n) u[n]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}$$

$$?$$

solution:

as

$$x[n] = r^{n} \cos(\omega_{0} n) u[n] = \frac{1}{2} (re^{j\omega_{0}})^{n} u[n] + \frac{1}{2} (re^{-j\omega_{0}})^{n} u[n]$$

we have:

$$\frac{1}{2} (re^{j\omega_0})^n u[n] \\
\frac{1}{2} (re^{-j\omega_0})^n u[n] \\
\frac{1}{2} (re^{-j\omega_0})^n u[n]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (re^{-j\omega_0})^n u[n] \\
\frac{1}{2} (re^{-j\omega_0})^n u[n] \\
\frac{$$

and hence:

$$X(z) = \frac{1/2}{1 - re^{j\omega_0}Z^{-1}} + \frac{1/2}{1 - re^{-j\omega_0}Z^{-1}} = \frac{1 - r\cos\omega_0Z^{-1}}{1 - 2r\cos\omega_0Z^{-1} + r^2Z^{-2}} , \quad RC = |Z| > r$$

Differentiation of X(z)

example:

$$X(z) = \log(1 + aZ^{-1}) , |Z| > a$$
?

© AJF



solution:

in this case we have:

$$\frac{dX(z)}{dZ} = -\frac{aZ^{-2}}{1 + aZ^{-1}}$$

and thus:

$$nx[n]$$
 \longleftarrow

$$-Z\frac{dX(z)}{dZ} = \frac{aZ^{-1}}{1 + aZ^{-1}}$$

$$Z \longrightarrow$$

$$a(-a)^{n-1}u[n-1]$$

and finally:

$$x[n] = \frac{a(-a)^{n-1}u[n-1]}{n}$$

Conjugation


Inversion in n

$$\begin{array}{c}
X[-n] \\
x^*[-n]
\end{array}
\qquad \begin{array}{c}
X(1/z) , RC = 1/R_X \equiv 1/r_D < |Z| < 1/r_E \\
X^*(1/z^*) , RC = 1/R_X
\end{array}$$





example:



Convolution

NOTE: as a result of this operation, *pole-zero cancellation* may occur between the zeroes and poles of the Z function, such that the final RC may be larger than R_{X1} and R_{X2}


 The convolution property is fundamental in the sense that the Z transform of the output of an LTI system is given by the product between the Z transform of the input and the Z transform of the impulse response of the system, commonly known as the <u>transfer function</u>




example of the multiplication by a complex exponential property:


let us consider a second-order Z-Transform, H(z), whose zero-pole distribution in the Z-plane is as follows:

zero0 = 0.5*exp(1j*3*pi/4);
zero1 = 0.5*exp(1j*5*pi/4);
pole0 = 0.5*exp(1j*pi/4);
pole1 = 0.5*exp(1j*7*pi/4);
b=poly([zero0 zero1]);
a=poly([pole0 pole1]);
zplane(b,a)



let us now consider the transformations $H\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$, and $H\left(\frac{z}{z_1}\right)$, where $z_0=2.56$ and $z_1=e^{j\pi/4}$





Questions: which plot corresponds to the z_0 transformation ? and to the z_1 transformation ? why ?

Do all the plots correspond to real-valued discrete-time sequences ?

29





Multiplication

$$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\left[x_{1}[n] \cdot x_{2}^{*}[n]\right]} \leftarrow \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C} X_{1}(v) X_{2}^{*} \left(\frac{Z^{*}}{v^{*}}\right) v^{-1} dv , \quad RC = R_{X1} \cdot R_{X2}$$

where
$$R_{X1} \equiv r_{E1} < |Z| < r_{D1}$$
, $R_{X2} \equiv r_{E2} < |Z| < r_{D2}$, $R_{X1} \cdot R_{X1} \equiv r_{E1} \cdot r_{E2} < |Z| < r_{D1} \cdot r_{D2}$

NOTE: C is a closed counter-clockwise contour in the area of intersection between the convergence region of $X_1(v)$ and that of $X_2(Z/v)$. The multiplication property is also known as the modulation theorem or the complex convolution theorem.

Generalization of the Parseval theorem to the Z domain

As:
$$W[n] = x_1[n] \cdot x_2^*[n]$$
 \longleftarrow $W(Z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x_1[n] \cdot x_2^*[n] Z^{-n}$

then:
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x_1[n] \cdot x_2^*[n] = W(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X_1(v) X_2^* \left(\frac{1}{v^*}\right) v^{-1} dv$$



or, changing the variable v into z:
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x_1[n] \cdot x_2^*[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X_1(Z) X_2^* \left(\frac{1}{Z^*}\right) Z^{-1} dZ$$

If both $X_1(Z)$ and $X_2^*(1/Z^*)$ include the unit circumference in their convergence regions, it is possible to use it as the closed C contour and hence z=e^{jω}, which leads to:

 $\left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x_1[n] \cdot x_2^*[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\omega=-\pi}^{\pi} X_1(e^{j\omega}) X_2^*(e^{j\omega}) d\omega \right|$

As a particular case, the energy of a signal may be evaluated in the Z domain:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[n]|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\omega=-\pi}^{\pi} |X(e^{j\omega})|^2 d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(Z) X^* \left(\frac{1}{Z^*}\right) Z^{-1} dZ$$

Initial value theorem

is x[n] is causal (i.e., unilateral Z transform), then: $x[0] = \lim_{z \to \infty} X(z)$

$$x[0] = \lim_{z \to \infty} X(z)$$

(gain of the transfer function)

Final value theorem

if x[n] is causal (i.e., unilateral Z transform), such as that X(z) has all its poles inside the unit circumference, except possibly for a first-order pole at Z=1, then:

(gain at low frequencies)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x[n] = \lim_{z \to 1} (1 - z^{-1}) X(z)$$



The Z-Transform of the auto/cross-correlation

the Z-Transform of the auto-correlation

the auto-correlation is defined as (in this discussion, we admit energy signals)

$$r_x[\ell] = x[\ell] * x^*[-\ell] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[k]x^*[k-\ell]$$

considering the Z-Transform properties

$$x[\ell] \xrightarrow{Z} X(z), \quad RoC = R_x \equiv r_E < |z| < r_D$$

$$x^*[\ell] \xrightarrow{Z} X^*(z^*), \quad RoC = R_x$$

$$x[-\ell] \xrightarrow{Z} X(z^{-1}), \quad RoC = 1/R_x \equiv 1/r_D < |z| < 1/r_E$$

$$x^*[-\ell] \xrightarrow{Z} X^*(1/z^*), \quad RoC = 1/R_x$$

Then

$$r_{\chi}[\ell] = \chi[\ell] * \chi^*[-\ell] \quad \stackrel{Z}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad R_{\chi}(z) = \chi(z) \cdot \chi^*(1/z^*), \quad RoC = R_{\chi} \cap 1/R_{\chi}$$

where $R_{\chi}(z) = X(z) \cdot X^{*}(1/z^{*})$ is called the energy spectrum



The Z-Transform of the auto/cross-correlation

- the Z-Transform of the auto-correlation (cont.)
 - the Wiener-Khintchine Theorem: the auto-correlation and the energy spectrum form a Z-Transform pair

$$r_{x}[\ell] \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad R_{x}(z) = X(z) \cdot X^{*}(1/z^{*})$$

thus,

$$r_{x}[\ell] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C} R_{x}(z) Z^{\ell-1} dz$$

and, in particular, the energy of the signal can be found using

$$E = r_x[0] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[k]|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C X(z) \cdot X^*(1/z^*) Z^{-1} dz$$

which reflects the Parseval Theorem in the Z-domain



The Z-Transform of the auto/cross-correlation

• the Z-Transform of the cross-correlation

the cross-correlation is defined as (we admit energy signals)

$$r_{xy}[\ell] = x[\ell] * y^*[-\ell] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[k] y^*[k-\ell]$$

considering the Z-Transform properties

$$x[\ell]$$
 $\stackrel{Z}{\longleftrightarrow}$ $X(z)$, $RoC = R_x$
 $y[\ell]$ $\stackrel{Z}{\longleftrightarrow}$ $Y(z)$, $RoC = R_y$
 $y^*[\ell]$ $\stackrel{Z}{\longleftrightarrow}$ $Y^*(z^*)$, $RoC = R_y$
 $y[-\ell]$ $\stackrel{Z}{\longleftrightarrow}$ $Y(z^{-1})$, $RoC = 1/R_y$
 $y^*[-\ell]$ $\stackrel{Z}{\longleftrightarrow}$ $Y^*(1/z^*)$, $RoC = 1/R_y$

then

$$r_{xy}[\ell] = x[\ell] * y^*[-\ell] \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longleftrightarrow} R_{xy}(z) = X(z) \cdot Y^*(1/z^*), \ RoC = R_x \cap 1/R_y$$